## This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/885,459	06/19/2001	Donald E. Alfano	CYGL-25,768	2744
25883 7	590 07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P			KIM, KENNETH S	
P.O. BOX 741' DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
<i>D1122115</i> , 171	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2111	
			DATE MAILED 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summany	09/885,459	ALFANO ET AL.	Jr			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth S KIM	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma	ay 2004.					
2a)☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		KENNETH S. KIM	R			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National St	age			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	52)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2111

- 1. Claims 1- 6 remain for examination and claims 8-13 remain withdrawn.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,732,207, cited in the previous office action.

Allen et al teaches the invention as claimed in claim 1 including a re-configurable processor integrated circuit (200) comprising:

- (a) a processing core for operating on a set of instructions to carry out a predefined process (201, 290),
- (b) a plurality of input/output (i/o) pins (col. 4, line 48),
- (c) a re-configurable interface (212) for interfacing between said processor core and said i/o pins, said re-configurable interface operable to define how each of said plurality of i/o pins interfaces with (col. 4, lines 59-67) said processor core and functionality associated therewith (214, 217; col. 5, line 32) in accordance with configuration

Art Unit: 2111

information stored in non-volatile memory and can be altered (220, col. 5, line 15; col. 3, line 27), and

further teaches as in claims 2-6,

- (d) wherein said i/o pins are configured in functional groups (pin group A or B; col. 5, line 19) claim 2,
- (e) wherein each of said plurality of i/o pins can be interfaced to any one of a plurality of i/o of said processor core by said re-configurable interface (420; col. 5, line 18) claim 3,
- (f) wherein said re-configurable interface is programmable by a user (col. 4, line 59) claim 4,
- (g) wherein said processor core comprises a digital section interfacing with an analog section coupled to analog i/o pins (col. 7, line 44; analog pins for analog input signal) claim 5, and
- (h) wherein said analog pins are not re-configurable with said re-configurable interface (implementing configurable option is a design choice) claim 6.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2111

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheung et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,262,594 in view of Allen et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,732,207, both cited in the previous office action.

<u>Cheung et al</u> teaches the invention substantially as claimed as set forth in the previous office action incorporated herein by reference, however, does not expressly teach that the configuration storing memory is non-volatile.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that using a non-volatile memory over volatile memory is a desirable option that allows more durable (with power off) storage of data.

The person would have been motivated to incorporate a non-volatile memory to have any type of data without volatility including the configuration data pre-installed and preserved during power-off, as taught in Allen et al (col. 3, line 30).

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2111

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (703) 305-9693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

June 25, 2004

KENNETH S. KIM RIMARY EXAMINER